

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 Sankona Graham,

4 Plaintiff(s),

5 vs.

6 Theodore Eisenloffel, et al.,

7 Defendant(s).

2:21-cv-01674-RFB-MDC

**Order denying plaintiff's emergency motion
(ECF No. 170)**

8
9 The Court has reviewed plaintiff's emergency motion (ECF No. 170) and denies his motion
10 because plaintiff does not present any reasonable grounds to prevent his deposition. Plaintiff's prior
11 deposition was terminated because defendant's counsel felt threatened (ECF No. 154). Plaintiff's prior
12 deposition conduct further resulted in the withdrawal of his pro-bono counsel (ECF No. 154). Plaintiff is
13 cautioned that further allegations of his misconduct may result in an order to show cause and possible
14 sanctions.

15 **It is so ordered that:**

- 16 1. Plaintiff Sankona Graham's *emergency motion to object, terminate, and/or limit deposition*
17 (ECF No. 170) is denied.
18 2. Plaintiff is CAUTIONED that failure to comply with this order or further allegations of
19 misconduct may result in an order to show cause and possible sanctions.

20
21 **NOTICE**

22 Pursuant to Local Rules IB 3-1 and IB 3-2, a party may object to orders and reports and
23 recommendations issued by the magistrate judge. Objections must be in writing and filed with the Clerk
24 of the Court within fourteen days. LR IB 3-1, 3-2. The Supreme Court has held that the courts of appeal
25 may determine that an appeal has been waived due to the failure to file objections within the specified

1 time. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 142 (1985). This circuit has also held that (1) failure to file objections
2 within the specified time and (2) failure to properly address and brief the objectionable issues waives the
3 right to appeal the District Court's order and/or appeal factual issues from the order of the District Court.
4 *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991); *Britt v. Simi Valley United Sch. Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452,
5 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Pursuant to LR IA 3-1, the plaintiff must immediately file written notification with
6 the court of any change of address. The notification must include proof of service upon each opposing
7 party's attorney, or upon the opposing party if the party is unrepresented by counsel. Failure to comply
8 with this order may result in dismissal of this case.

9 It is so ordered.

10 Dated this 26th day of March 2024.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, consisting of a series of loops and strokes, positioned above the printed name of the judge.

11
12
13 Maximiliano D. Couvillier III
14 United States Magistrate Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25